

AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS

1. (Currently amended) A method for detection of server-like devices within a network, said detection method comprising the steps of
determining a respective ~~the~~-ingress to egress network traffic ratio for at least some of the devices,
and selecting the server-like devices on the basis of each ~~said~~-determined ratio ~~ratios~~-or a figure derived from each ~~said~~-determined ratio ~~ratios~~.
2. (Currently amended) A method as claimed in claim 1 in which each server-like device is connected to a port of another device and the ingress to egress network traffic ratio is determined by determining ~~the~~-network traffic through said port.
3. (Original) A method as claimed in claim 2 in which said network traffic through said port is determined using SNMP.
4. (Original) A method as claimed in claim 1 in which the step of selecting the server-like devices includes selecting those devices having a value of said determined ratio above a selected value.
5. (Original) A method as claimed in claim 4 including the step of ranking the devices in order of their determined ratios.

6. (Original) A method as claimed in claim 5 in which the selection of the server-like devices includes determining discontinuities in the values of the determined ratios of the ranked devices.

7. (Currently amended) A method as claimed in claim 5 including the step of nominally plotting the determined ratios of the devices against the ranked devices and deriving ~~a the~~ second derivative of a resulting ordered ratio ~~the~~ graph, and using the second derivative to select the server-like devices.

8. (Currently amended) A method as claimed in claim 6 including the step of nominally plotting the determined ratios of the devices against the ranked devices and deriving ~~a the~~ third derivative of a resulting ordered ratio ~~the~~ graph, and using ~~the~~ second and third derivatives to select the server-like devices.

9. (Original) A method as claimed in claim 8 including the step of using the second and third derivatives to divide the devices into groups and selecting one or more of the groups of devices as server-like devices.

10. (Currently amended) A method as claimed in claim 9 in which devices are divided into groups by determining ~~the~~ boundaries of the groups as points where the second derivative is zero and the third derivative is less than zero.

11. (Original) A method as claimed in claim 10 in which the step of selecting one or more of the groups of devices as server-like devices comprises selecting one of said points as a cut-off point beyond which all devices are considered as exhibiting server-like behaviour.

12. (Currently amended) A computer program on a computer readable medium loadable into a digital computer ~~or embodied in a carrier wave~~, said computer program comprising software for performing the steps of claim 1.

13. (Currently amended) A computer program on a computer readable medium loadable into a digital computer, ~~or embodied in a carrier wave~~, said computer program comprising the following steps: [[;]]

program step 100: [[;]] Start

program step 101: [[;]] for counter value ifInOctet, a total number of Octets received on a given interface of a managed network device, and counter value ifOutOctet, a total number of Octets transmitted from a given interface of a managed network device;
Retrieve ifInOctets and ifOutOctets counter values from all devices by interrogating the agents of managed devices and determining traffic data from ports connected to those devices;

program step 102: [[;]] Is this a the first iteration? If no, go to program step 103; [[.
If]] if yes, go to program step 104; [[.]

program step 103:[:,:] Use stored ifInOctets and ifOutOctets values from previous iterations to calculate ifInOctets and ifOutOctets delta values for this sample period and store these in memory:[:,.]

program step 104:[:,:] Store in memory current ifInOctets and ifOutOctets values for all devices:[:,.]

program step 105:[:,:] Are there more sampling periods? If yes, return to program step 101:[:, If]] if no, go to program step 106:[:,.]

program step 106:[:,:] Calculate mean ifInOctets and ifOutOctets values for each device, averaging across all sampling periods and store in memory:[:,.]

program step 107:[:,:] Sort results into ascending order and calculate 2nd and 3rd derivative values:[:,.]

program step 108:[:,:] Store all points where second derivative is zero and third derivative is less than zero:[:,.]

program step 109:[:,:] Were any points found? If no, go to program step 110:[:, If]] if yes, go to program step 111:[:,.]

program step 110:[:,:] Use a sensitivity setting to determine which devices to regard as exhibiting server-like behaviour and go to program step 112:[:,.]

program step 111:[:,:] Use a sensitivity setting to determine which of the found points to use as a cut-off point, regard all devices in the ordered ratio graph beyond this point as exhibiting server-like behaviour , and go to program step 112:[:,.]

program step 112:[:,:] End.

14. (Currently amended) Network apparatus for detection of server-like devices within a network, said apparatus comprising means for determining a respective ~~the~~ ingress to egress network traffic ratio for at least some of the devices, and means for selecting the server-like devices on the basis of each ~~said-determined ratio ratios-~~ or a figure derived from each ~~said-determined ratio ratios-~~.

15. (Currently amended) Apparatus as claimed in claim 14 in which each server-like device is connected to a port of another device and the ingress to egress network traffic ratio is determined by determining ~~the~~ network traffic through said port.

16. (Original) Apparatus as claimed in claim 15 in which said network traffic through said port is determined using SNMP.

17. (Original) Apparatus as claimed in claim 14 including means for selecting those devices having a value of said determined ratio above a selected value.

18. (Currently amended) Apparatus as claimed in claim 17 including means for ranking the devices in order of their determined ratios.

19. (Original) Apparatus as claimed in claim 18 including means for determining discontinuities in the values of the determined ratios of the ranked devices.

20. (Currently amended) Apparatus as claimed in claim 18 including means for nominally plotting the determined ratios of the devices against the ranked devices and deriving a ~~the~~ second derivative of a resulting ordered ratio ~~the~~ graph, and means for using the second derivative to select the server-like devices.

21. (Currently amended) Apparatus as claimed in claim 19 including means for nominally plotting the determined ratios of the devices against the ranked devices and deriving a ~~the~~ third derivative of a resulting ordered ratio ~~the~~ graph, and means for using ~~the~~ second and third derivatives to select the server-like devices.

22. (Currently amended) Apparatus as claimed in claim 21 including means for using ~~the~~ second and third derivatives to divide the devices into groups and selecting one or more of the groups of devices as server-like devices.

23. (Currently amended) Apparatus as claimed in claim 22 including means for dividing the devices into groups by determining ~~the~~ boundaries of the groups as points where the second derivative is zero and the third derivative is less than zero.

24. (Original) Apparatus as claimed in claim 23 including means for selecting one or more of the groups of devices as server-like devices by selecting one of said points as a cut-off point beyond which all devices are considered as exhibiting server-like behaviour.